$C_{14}H_{10}O_3$

C7B	0.9663 (3)	0.5911 (13)	0.3524 (3)	0.093(2)
C8B	0.8973 (3)	0.4304 (10)	0.3505 (2)	0.079(2)
C9B	0.7566 (3)	0.2915 (7)	0.3819(2)	0.050(1)
C11B	0.8425 (3)	0.6412 (9)	0.4215 (2)	0.058(1)
C12B	0.8338 (2)	0.4529 (8)	0.3851 (2)	0.053(1)
C13B	0.7056(2)	0.3088 (8)	0.4290 (2)	0.050(1)
C14B	0.7210(3)	0.5055 (8)	0.4631 (2)	0.056(1)
C15B	0.7019 (2)	0.3757 (7)	0.3392 (2)	0.047 (1)
HB	0.647 (4)	0.671 (12)	0.307 (2)	0.15 (2)

Table 2. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °)

Molecule A		Molecule B	
C9A—C12A	1.504 (5)	C9B—C12B	1.497 (5)
C9A—C13A	1.512 (5)	C9B-C13B	1.514 (5)
C11A—C12A	1.377 (5)	C11B—C12B	1.381 (6)
C13A—C14A	1.367 (5)	C13B—C14B	1.384 (5)
C11A—O10A	1.385 (5)	C11B—O10B	1.377 (6)
C14A—O10A	1.379 (5)	C14B-010B	1.368 (5)
C9A—C15A	1.524 (5)	C9B—C15B	1.509 (5)
C15A—O1A	1.280 (4)	C15B—O1B	1.283 (4)
C15A—O2A	1.214 (4)	C15B—O2B	1.220 (4)
O1A—HA	1.09 (8)	O1 <i>B</i> —H <i>B</i>	1.09 (6)
C12A—C9A—C13A	111.2 (3)	C12B—C9B—C13B	111.8 (3)
O10A—C11A—C12A	122.3 (4)	O10B—C11B—C12B	122.9 (4)
C9A—C12A—C11A	121.0 (4)	C9B-C12B-C11B	120.7 (4)
C9A—C13A—C14A	120.8 (4)	C9B-C13B-C14B	120.0 (4)
O10A—C14A—C13A	122.9 (4)	O10B—C14B—C13B	123.2 (4)
C11A—O10A—C14A	118.3 (3)	C11B-010B-C14B	118.7 (3)
C12A—C9A—C15A	112.3 (3)	C12B—C9B—C15B	111.9 (3)
C13A—C9A—C15A	107.1 (3)	C13B—C9B—C15B	107.5 (3)
C9A—C15A—O1A	115.3 (3)	C9B-C15B-O1B	115.5 (4)
C9A—C15A—O2A	120.7 (3)	C9B—C15B—O2B	121.7 (3)
OIA—C15A—O2A	123.9 (4)	O1B-C15B-O2B	122.8 (4)

Table 3. Hydrogen-bonding geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	D—H	H···A	$D \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D—H···A
O1 <i>A</i> —HA···O2 <i>B</i>	1.09 (8)	1.61 (8)	2.650(4)	158 (6)
O1 <i>B</i> HB· · · ·O2A	1.09 (6)	1.60 (6)	2.647 (4)	160 (5)

The Laue symmetry and the observed reflection-limiting conditions indicated the space group to be either *Pnma* (centrosymmetric) or *Pna2*₁. The centrosymmetric option was explored without success, but the direct-methods program *SHELXS86* (Sheldrick, 1985) yielded acceptable initial positions of the C and O atoms in space group *Pna2*₁ (No. 33), which was adopted. Refinement proceeded well and the space group was retained.

Data collection: MSC/AFC Diffractometer Control Software (Molecular Structure Corporation, 1988). Cell refinement: MSC/AFC Diffractometer Control Software. Data reduction: TEXSAN (Molecular Structure Corporation, 1989). Program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS86 (Sheldrick, 1985). Program(s) used to refine structure: TEXSAN. Molecular graphics: ORTEPII (Johnson, 1976). Software used to prepare material for publication: TEXSAN.

We acknowledge the partial support provided to AJD by a National Needs Fellowship. We thank Dr J. C. Gallucci for help of various sorts. The diffractometer was purchased with funds provided in part by an NIH grant.

References

- Blackburn, A. C., Dobson, A. J. & Gerkin, R. E. (1996). Acta Cryst. C52, 1482-1486.
- Bondi, A. (1964). J. Phys. Chem. 68, 441-451.
- Ceccarelli, C., Jeffrey, G. A. & Taylor, R. J. (1981). J. Mol. Struct. 70, 255-271.
- Chu, S. S. C. & Yang, H. T. (1977). Acta Cryst. B33, 2291-2293
- Cromer, D. T. & Waber, J. T. (1974). International Tables for X-ray Crystallography, Vol. IV, Tables 2.2A, 2.3.1. Birmingham: Kynoch Press. (Present distributor Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht.)
- Johnson, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Le Page, Y. (1987). J. Appl. Cryst. 20, 264–269.
- Le Page, Y. (1988). J. Appl. Cryst. 21, 983-984.
- Molecular Structure Corporation (1988). MSC/AFC Diffractometer Control Software. MSC, 3200 Research Forest Drive, The Woodlands, TX 77381, USA.
- Molecular Structure Corporation (1989). TEXSAN. Single Crystal Structure Analysis Software. Version 5.0. MSC, 3200 Research Forest Drive, The Woodlands, TX 77381, USA.
- Rochlin, E. & Rappoport, Z. (1992). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 114, 230-241.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1985). SHELXS86. Crystallographic Computing 3, edited by G. M. Sheldrick, C. Krüger & R. Goddard, pp. 175–189. Oxford University Press.
- Stewart, R. F., Davidson, E. R. & Simpson, W. T. (1965). J. Chem. Phys. 42, 3174–3187.
- Zachariasen, W. H. (1963). Acta Cryst. 16, 1139-1144.
- Zachariasen, W. H. (1968). Acta Cryst. A24, 212-216.

Acta Cryst. (1996). C52, 1488-1490

Accurate Redetermination of Diiodobis-(phenylsulfonyl)methane, (PhSO₂)₂CI₂

CHRISTOPHER GLIDEWELL,^{*a*} GEORGE FERGUSON,^{*b*} SPYROS NIKAS^{*c*} AND ANASTASIOS VARVOGLIS^{*c*}

^aSchool of Chemistry, University of St Andrews, St Andrews, Fife KY16 9ST, Scotland, ^bDepartment of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Guelph, Guelph, Ontario, Canada N1G 2W1, and ^cLaboratory of Organic Chemistry, Aristotelian University of Thessaloniki, 540 06 Thessaloniki, Greece. E-mail: cg@st-andrews.ac.uk

(Received 29 November 1995; accepted 8 December 1995)

Abstract

The structure of the title compound, $C_{13}H_{10}I_2O_4S_2$, has been redetermined, with proper treatment of both anomalous dispersion and absorption. The unusual structural features reported in an earlier determination [Stergioudis, Bozopoulos, Kavounis & Rentzeperis (1989). Z. Kristallogr. **189**, 57–63] are not reproduced by the present analysis; the structure and conformation of the title compound are now found to be very similar to those of (PhSO₂)₂CBr₂; the molecules are joined into chains along the *a* direction by very short I···O interactions [I···O 2.927 (7) Å].

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, Hatom coordinates, complete geometry and least-squares-planes data, together with a stereoview of the unit cell, have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: FG1134). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

Comment

The structures of (PhSO₂)₂CH₂ (I) and (PhSO₂)₂CBr₂ (II) have recently been reported by Glidewell, Lightfoot & Patterson (1995). Both (I) and (II) showed significant differences from the reported structure of (PhSO₂)₂CI₂ (III) (Stergioudis, Bozopoulos, Kavounis & Rentzeperis, 1989). Although no crystallographic symmetry is imposed upon the molecules, the two independent $C(sp^3)$ —S distances are essentially identical in each of (I) and (II); by contrast, the corresponding C-S distances in (III) are reported to be very different, 1.72 (2) and 1.83 (2) Å. Similarly, the reported values of the two independent O-S-O angles in (III) are 110.0(8) and 112.4(7)°, much smaller than the values found in both (I) and (II), and in all other neutral sulfones (Cotton & Stokeley, 1970; Berthou, Jéminet & Laurent, 1972; De Lucchi, Pasquato, Modena & Valle, 1985; Foss, Kvammen & Maröy, 1985), where these angles lie in the range $118.5(3)-121.0(3)^{\circ}$. These differences between the structures of (I) and (II) on the one hand and that of (III) on the other would, if confirmed, be of considerable theoretical interest: we have therefore redetermined the structure of (III), and we conclude that the structural details previously reported are incorrect.



Compound (III) crystallizes in the orthorhombic system, in space group $P2_12_12_1$; the unit-cell dimensions and the space group are identical with those reported earlier (Stergioudis et al., 1989) and confirm that the present work and the previous report refer to the same polymorph of (III). In contrast to the previous report, we find the following (Table 2): the two independent $C(sp^3)$ —S distances are identical within experimental uncertainly, with values very similar to those found in (II); the two independent O-S-O angles lie within the normal range for neutral sulfones; and the non-bonding $I \cdots S$ distances span a much smaller range, 3.155 (3)–3.287 (3) Å, than previously reported, 3.09(2)-3.42(2) Å. The overall conformation of (III) (Fig. 1 and Table 2) is remarkably similar to that of (II), despite the fact that these two compounds crystallize in quite different space groups.

None of the anomalous structural features previously reported for (III) by Stergioudis *et al.* (1989) are reproduced in the present analysis. Factors which may have contributed to the erroneous structural details reported earlier include the apparent averaging of Friedel reflections and the absence of any absorption correction, despite the large value of the absorption



Fig. 1. A view of (III) with our numbering scheme [which has been chosen to be the same as used in (PhSO₂)₂CBr₂]. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 30% probability level.

coefficient. The final $\Delta \rho$ map for (III) for the present structure was devoid of the additional peaks found adjacent to the phenyl rings in the previous account of the structure.

A feature of interest in the crystal structure of (III), not remarked upon by Stergioudis *et al.* (1989), is the very short $I1 \cdots O11(x - 1, y, z)$ distance, 2.927 (7) Å: this is significantly shorter than the sum of the van der Waals radii, 3.45 Å (Bondi, 1964). This $I \cdots O$ distance is even shorter, relative to the van der Waals radii, than the very short $I \cdots N$ distance of 3.127 (4) Å in 4iodobenzonitrile (Desiraju & Harlow, 1989). Such very short distances involving the heavier halogens have been ascribed to halogen polarizability (Desiraju & Harlow, 1989), and have the effect in (III) of generating chains parallel to the *a* direction.

Experimental

Compound (III) was prepared according to the published method (Hadjiarapoglou & Varvoglis, 1988), and crystals suitable for single-crystal X-ray diffraction were grown by slow evaporation of a solution in dichloromethane/hexane.

Crystal data

$C_{13}H_{10}I_2O_4S_2$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
$M_r = 548.13$	$\lambda = 0.7107 \text{ Å}$
Orthorhombic	Cell parameters from 25
P212121	reflections
a = 6.9936 (6) Å	$\theta = 12.60 - 19.60^{\circ}$
b = 13.1255(12) Å	$\mu = 4.03 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
c = 17.988 (6) Å	T = 294(1) K
$V = 1651.2(5) \text{ Å}^3$	Needle
Z = 4	$0.43 \times 0.11 \times 0.07 \text{ mm}$
$D_r = 2.205 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$	Colorless
D_m not measured	

Data collection

Enraf–Nonius CAD-4	$R_{\rm int} = 0.038$
diffractometer	$\theta_{\rm max} = 26.88^{\circ}$

$C_{13}H_{10}I_2O_4S_2$

.

$\theta/2\theta$ scans	$h = -8 \rightarrow 8$
Absorption correction:	$k = 0 \rightarrow 16$
numerical by Gaussian	$l = 0 \rightarrow 22$
integration from crystal	3 standard reflections
shape	frequency: 120 min
$T_{\min} = 0.6205, T_{\max} =$	intensity decay: no decay,
0.7731	variation 1.0%
4000 measured reflections	
3577 independent reflections	
2172 observed reflections	
$[I > 2\sigma(I)]$	

Refinement

11

12

S1

S2

011 012

021

Refinement on F^2	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.780 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.0481$	$\Delta ho_{ m min}$ = -0.548 e Å $^{-3}$
$wR(F^2) = 0.1115$	Extinction correction: none
S = 0.961	Atomic scattering factors
3577 reflections	from International Tables
190 parameters	for Crystallography (1992,
H atoms riding [SHELXL93	Vol. C, Tables 4.2.6.8 and
(Sheldrick, 1993) defaults,	6.1.1.4)
C—H = 0.93 Å]	Absolute configuration:
$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0504P)^2]$	Flack (1983) parameter
where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$	= 0.02(5)
$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$	

Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

$U_{\text{eq}} = (1/3) \sum_i \sum_j U_{ij} a_i^* a_j^* \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_j.$				
	x	у	z	U_{eq}
	-0.03715 (10)	0.45206 (6)	0.69784 (4)	0.0473 (2)
	0.36708 (12)	0.56682 (6)	0.78267 (4)	0.0540(2)
	0.3804 (4)	0.3456(2)	0.7135 (2)	0.0399 (6)
	0.3417 (4)	0.5246 (2)	0.60340(15)	0.0383 (6)
	0.5807 (10)	0.3623 (6)	0.7088 (5)	0.058 (2)
	0.3009(11)	0.3112(5)	0.7812 (4)	0.050(2)
	0.2668 (11)	0.4584 (5)	0.5479 (4)	0.050(2)
	0.5423 (11)	0.5410(6)	0.6072 (4)	0.054 (2)
	0.2678 (13)	0.4710(7)	0.6940 (5)	0.036(2)

		. ,		
O21	0.2668 (11)	0.4584 (5)	0.5479 (4)	0.050 (2)
O22	0.5423 (11)	0.5410(6)	0.6072 (4)	0.054 (2)
CI	0.2678 (13)	0.4710(7)	0.6940 (5)	0.036(2)
C11	0.3181 (15)	0.2594 (7)	0.6432 (6)	0.037 (3)
C12	0.4463 (17)	0.2432 (9)	0.5857 (7)	0.051 (3)
C13	0.408 (2)	0.1680(11)	0.5339 (8)	0.071 (4)
C14	0.244 (2)	0.1121 (11)	0.5393 (7)	0.072 (4)
C15	0.1216(18)	0.1279 (9)	0.5964 (7)	0.061 (4)
C16	0.1569(17)	0.2025 (8)	0.6484 (6)	0.049 (3)
C21	0.2253 (15)	0.6418 (8)	0.5965 (6)	0.039 (3)
C22	0.3205 (18)	0.7311 (8)	0.6210(6)	0.053 (3)
C23	0.222 (3)	0.8232 (10)	0.6158 (7)	0.068 (4)
C24	0.038 (3)	0.8246 (10)	0.5880(7)	0.069 (4)
C25	-0.051(2)	0.7383 (11)	0.5650(7)	0.066 (4)
C26	0.0441 (18)	0.6467 (9)	0.5687 (6)	0.049 (3)

Table 2. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °)

	0	4	
11—C1	2.148 (9)	S1-C1	1.857 (9)
12—C1	2.146 (10)	S2—O21	1.424 (7)
S1—011	1.420(7)	S2	1.421 (8)
S1—O12	1.412 (8)	S2—C21	1.745 (11)
\$1—C11	1.753 (11)	S2—C1	1.850 (10)
011—S1—O12	119.3 (5)	O22—S2—C1	106.9 (4)
011—S1—C1	105.7 (4)	O22—S2—C21	109.3 (5)
011S1-C11	107.5 (5)	C1-S2-C21	105.5 (5)
012—S1—C1	106.2 (5)	11—C1—I2	111.4 (4)
012—S1—C11	108.5 (5)	[1—C1—S1	108.2 (4)

C1-S1-C11	109.3 (4)	11—C1—S2	110.5 (5)
O21-S2-O22	119.3 (5)	12—C1—S1	104.0 (4)
O21-S2-C1	106.4 (4)	12—C1—S2	110.0 (4)
O21-S2-C1	108.5 (5)	S1—C1—S2	112.7 (5)
O22—S2—C1—S1	-62.6 (6)	012—\$1—C1—\$2	-175.9 (5)
O21—S2—C1—S1	65.9 (6)	011—\$1—C1—\$2	56.4 (6)
C21—S2—C1—S1	-179.0 (5)	C11—\$1—C1—\$2	-59.0 (6)

As the molecule contains two I atoms and the space group is chiral, two adjacent octants of data were collected to allow an unequivocal determination of the chirality of the crystal studied. Examination of the structure with PLATON (Spek, 1995a) showed that there were no solvent-accessible voids in the crystal lattice.

Data collection: DIFRAC (Gabe & White, 1993). Cell refinement: DIFRAC. Data reduction: DATRD2 in NRCVAX94 (Gabe, Le Page, Charland, Lee & White, 1989). Program(s) used to solve structure: Patterson heavy-atom method and NRCVAX94. Program(s) used to refine structure: NRCVAX94 and SHELXL93 (Sheldrick, 1993). Molecular graphics: NRC-VAX94, PLATON (Spek, 1995a), PLUTON (Spek 1995b), and ORTEPII (Johnson, 1976) as implemented in PLATON. Software used to prepare material for publication: NRCVAX94, SHELXL93 and WordPerfect macro PREPCIF.

GF thanks NSERC (Canada) for Research Grants.

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, Hatom coordinates and complete geometry have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: AB1343). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

References

- Berthou, J., Jéminet, G. & Laurent, A. (1972). Acta Cryst. B28, 2480-2485.
- Bondi, A. (1964). J. Phys. Chem. 68, 441-451.
- Cotton, F. A. & Stokeley, P. F. (1970). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 92, 294-302.
- De Lucchi, O., Pasquato, L., Modena, G. & Valle, G. (1985). Z. Kristallogr. 170, 267-274.
- Desiraju, G. R. & Harlow, R. L. (1989). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 111, 6757-6764.
- Flack, H. D. (1983). Acta Cryst. A39, 876-881.
- Foss, O., Kvammen, F. & Maröy, K. (1985). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 231-237.
- Gabe, E. J., Le Page, Y., Charland, J.-P., Lee, F. L. & White, P. S. (1989). J. Appl. Cryst. 22, 384-387.
- Gabe, E. J. & White, P. S. (1993). DIFRAC. A Diffractometer Control Program. American Crystallographic Association, Pittsburgh Meeting, Abstract PA104.
- Glidewell, C., Lightfoot, P. & Patterson, I. L. J. (1995). Acta Cryst. C51, 1648-1651.
- Hadjiarapoglou, L. & Varvoglis, A. (1988). J. Chem. Res. (S), pp. 306-307.
- Johnson, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1993). SHELXL93. Program for Crystal Structure Refinement. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Spek, A. L. (1995a). PLATON. Molecular Geometry Program. July 1995 version. University of Utrecht, The Netherlands.
- Spek, A. L. (1995b). PLUTON. Molecular Graphics Program. July 1995 version. University of Utrecht, The Netherlands.
- Stergioudis, G. A., Bozopoulos, A. P., Kavounis, C. A. & Rentzeperis, P. J. (1989). Z. Kristallogr. 189, 57-63.